## Biases in Visual Memory Reflect Precision not Prototypes

## Thomas A. Langlois<sup>\*1,2</sup>, Nori Jacoby<sup>\*3</sup>, Jordan Suchow<sup>4</sup>, Thomas L. Griffiths<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of California, Berkeley, <sup>2</sup>Princeton University, <sup>3</sup>Max-Planck-Institute for Empirical Aesthetics, <sup>4</sup>Stevens Institute of Technology \*T.L (Thomas A. Langlois) and N.J. (Nori Jacoby) contributed equally to this work

## BACKGROUND



The visual memory system encodes information selectively due to limited resources, resulting in systematic biases Previous work explains biases in terms of categorical effects, whereby memory is drawn towards category centers

#### EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH

#### A. The paradigm



#### **SPATIAL MEMORY BIASES: SHAPES**

#### A. Transmission chain of bounded shapes: superposition of points across all iterations



B. Transmission chain of shaded shapes: superposition of points across all iterations



Our approach paints an intricately detailed picture, revealing memory anchors near the edges and vertices

# SPATIAL MEMORY BIASES: NATURAL IMAGES A. Transmission chain results for natural images

Our approach also reveals intricate and complex patterns of memory biases in the case of natural images

#### MODEL COMPARISONS

#### A. Gradient-based edge and corner features, and discrimination d' maps



#### C. Comparing feature predictions of memory KDEs for natural images



Memory biases cannot be accounted for by low-level gradient-based features in images. They are best predicted by measures of change sensitivity (discrimination maps)

### SEMANTIC CORNERS

A. Recognition experiment image masking procedure



#### B. Recognition experiment design







Our results suggest that memory biases are concentrated in regions that are semantically meaningful. They also appear around implied (illusory) semantic corners











| B. Baseline, Fixations, CoMs, segmentation KDEs |                         |                            |                 |                          |                                 |  |  |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
|   | 1. r=0.19               | 1. r=-0.10                 | 1. r=0.01       | 1. r=-0.03               | 1. r=-0.02                      |  |  |
| INVERTED (I                                     | A.                      |                            |                 |                          |                                 |  |  |
|   | 2. r=0.21               | 2. r=0.21                  | 2. r=0.23       | 2. r=0.27                | 2. r=0.13                       |  |  |
| FIXATION (F                                     |                         |                            |                 |                          |                                 |  |  |
| _   | 3. r=0.29               | 3. r=0.16                  | 3. r=0.10       | 3. r=0.22                | 3. r=0.32                       |  |  |
| CoM (M)   | * + +<br>+ + +<br>+ + + | +<br>+<br>+<br>+<br>+<br>+ | + +<br>+ +<br>+ | +<br>+ + + +<br>+<br>+   | \$<br>+ + +<br>+ + +<br>+ + + + |  |  |
| _   | 4. r=0.48               | 4. r=0.56                  | 4. r=0.47       | 4. r=0.78                | 4. r=0.61                       |  |  |
| SEG (G)   |                         | 6                          |                 |                          | din.                            |  |  |
|   | BIRD                    | HORSE                      | PLANE           | BOAT                     | ROOM                            |  |  |
| D. Comparing fixations, CoMs, seg. KDEs and d'  |                         |                            |                 |                          |                                 |  |  |
| NO  | 1 ***                   | ***<br>***                 | ***             | <u>***</u><br><u>***</u> | <u>***</u>                      |  |  |
| CORRELATI                                       |                         |                            |                 |                          |                                 |  |  |
|   | IFMGD                   | IFMGD                      | IFMGD           | IFMGD                    | IFMGD                           |  |  |



#### D. Illusory corners



### PRECISION



![](_page_0_Figure_49.jpeg)

|                  | INTERPOLATION | Z      |
|------------------|---------------|--------|
|                  | INTERPOLATION | 4      |
|                  | INTERPOLATION |        |
| SMOOTHED D' GRID |               | SMOOTH |

![](_page_0_Picture_53.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Picture_54.jpeg)

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded in part by National Science Foundation grant SPRF-IBSS-1408652 to T.L.G. and J.W.S. and DARPA Cooperative Agreement D17AC00004 to T.L.G and J.W.S. The contents of this paper does not necessarily reflect the position or the policy of the Government and no official endorsement should be inferred. This work was also supported by The Center for Society and Neuroscience at Columbia University for author N.J. We would like to thank Tom Morgan for his help with the Dallinger platform.

#### A. Discrimination experiment: grid and experimental conditions

Memory biases are best predicted by measures of change sensitivity, suggesting that biases are due to variable encoding precision, and not priors or "perceptual attractors" (category prototypes)